P.R. No. 6181/05
Presented by Shri K.G. Thomas Esqr
dated 1/12/05

(4)

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 6116 /2005

PETITIONER : YASHWANT DEWAN
aged about 55 years
S/o. Shri Sewak Ram Dewan
B.R.C.C.
Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission
Block Development, Dhamtari
Tah. & Distt. Dhamtari (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Principal Secretary
School Education Department
Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Mantralaya D.K.S.Bhawan
Road Raipur (C.G.)
2. Rajiv Gandhi Education Mission
Through Director/Collector
Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission/
Project, Block Development
Dhamtari (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer
Dhamtari Tah.&Distt. Dhamtari
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
1.12.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(22)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 6116/2005

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

8-12-2005

Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is Block Resources Centre Coordinator. He further submits that originally he was working with School Education Department but he has been sent on deputation to Rajeev Gandhi Shiksha Mission, Block Development Dhamtari. He further submits that his deputation has not been cancelled but he has been transferred by the District Education Officer. He submitted that he submitted a representation on 9-9-2005 but the same has not yet been decided.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the respondent no. 1 along with a copy of the petition and this order within 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the respondent No. 1 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue till the decision of the representation.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 4856/2005 and I.A. No. 10114/2005 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathaker(